C:\Documents and Settings\All Users\Documents\9104\Pld\Complaint.Western.Surety.wpd/mh

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	4.	At all times relevant herein, Taber Construction, Inc. (hereinafter "Taber") was and
now is	s a Califo	ornia corporation, having its principal place of business in the County of Contra Costa
State	of Califo	ornia.

- 5. Taber, as a general contractor, entered into a written contract with the United States of America, by and through the National Park Service, Contract No. 1443N8000060910 (hereinafter "Prime Contract") by the terms of which Taber agreed to construct, alter and/or repair a public building known as the Sala Burton Maritime Museum in San Francisco, California (hereinafter "the Project.")
- 6. Under 40 U.S.C. §§ 3131 et seq., Taber, as principal, and defendant SURETY, as surety, executed and delivered a payment bond to the United States, whereby Taber and SURETY bound themselves jointly and severally for the purpose of allowing a joint action or actions against any or all of them and bound themselves in a sum of money equal to the contract price.
- 7. Thereafter, Taber entered into a written subcontract with F. RODGERS to perform certain work on said Project, consisting of manufacture and installation of windows and doors for the Project. A copy of the subcontract between Taber and F. RODGERS is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.
- 8. Under the subcontract between Taber and F. RODGERS, Taber initially agreed to pay F. RODGERS \$2,008,498.00 for said labor, material, services and equipment.
- 9. By letter dated November 27, 2006, Taber directed F. RODGERS to proceed with the work. F. RODGERS did so.
- 10. Between December 2006 and February 2008, F. RODGERS furnished labor, material, services and equipment pursuant to subcontract between Taber and F. RODGERS, and at the specific request of Taber, in execution of the work required in the Prime Contract between Taber and the United States.
- 11. Pursuant to the subcontract between Taber and F. RODGERS, F. RODGERS was required to use a specified manufacturer, Next Door Company, for manufacture of the window frames and doors for the Project.

////

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	12.	The plans and speci	fications for the Project required the window frames to be straight		
plumb and even, and required no more than a quarter inch variance between all sides of the window					
frame	and the	concrete openings.	The concrete openings were shown to be square on the plans and		
specifi	ications	for the Project.			

Document 1

- 13. In February 2008, F. RODGERS attempted to install the window frames. It discovered that the openings for the window frames were not square, as shown on the plans and specifications. F. RODGERS is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that the demolition of the existing window frames by Taber resulted in uneven plaster of varying thickness around the edges of the window frames. The varying thickness of the plaster from the top to bottom of the openings resulted in uneven, warped or racked conditions, which had not been shown on the plans and specifications.
- 14. F. RODGERS advised Next Door Company of the uneven, warped or racked conditions of the window openings.
- 15. Next Door Company informed F. RODGERS that it was not possible to manufacture the window frames out of square to the degree that Taber wanted.
- 16. The uneven, warped or racked condition of the window frame openings were not disclosed to F. RODGERS prior to the execution of the Contract between Taber and F. RODGERS, and was not otherwise known to F. RODGERS at the time of the execution of the subcontract between Taber and F. RODGERS.
- 17. F. RODGERS sought guidance from Taber, informally and through formal Requests for Information, on how to proceed given the conditions at the job site. Notwithstanding Taber's failure to disclose that site conditions would be different than before, and notwithstanding F. RODGERS' lack of knowledge of those different site conditions, F. RODGERS told Taber that it would complete its work at Taber's direction, and that a change order might be required due to the necessary extra work and additional expense not contemplated at the time of the execution of the subcontract between Taber and F. RODGERS.
- As a result of the uneven, warped or racked condition of the window frame openings, 18. F. RODGERS would have had to perform extra work and incur additional expense to complete its

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

obligations under the subcontract between Taber and F. RODGERS. This extra work and additional
expense were not contemplated at the time of the execution of the subcontract between Taber and
F. RODGERS.

- 19. Instead of responding to F. RODGERS' requests for direction from Taber, Taber terminated F. RODGERS.
- 20. Termination of the subcontract between F. RODGERS and Taber made further performance of the subcontract impossible by F. RODGERS.
- 21. At the time that Taber terminated F. RODGERS, F. RODGERS had already furnished and provided labor and materials for which Taber owed F. RODGERS \$1,046,809.97.
- 22. F. RODGERS fully and completely performed all promises on F. RODGERS' part to be performed under its subcontract with Taber, and under the bond, except where said performance was made impossible by the actions of Taber or the National Park Service, or their agents or employees. All of the conditions precedent to performance on the part of Taber and SURETY, and each of them, have occurred.
- 23. Of the total amount owed to F. RODGERS by Taber for the labor, material, services and equipment it furnished to Taber, only the sum of \$25,724.43 has been paid, leaving a balance of \$1,046,809.97 remaining due, owing and unpaid to F. RODGERS.
- 24. F. RODGERS last furnished labor, material, services and equipment on the Project within the year immediately preceding the date on which this Complaint was filed.
- 25. A period of 90 days has elapsed since F. RODGERS last provided labor, material, services and equipment to Taber.
- 26. On April 9, 2008, F. RODGERS initiated arbitration with Taber, pursuant to the requirements of the subcontract between Taber and F. RODGERS. F. RODGERS invited SURETY to participate in the arbitration. SURETY declined.
- 27. Under the payment bond executed between Taber and SURETY, SURETY is jointly and severally obligated to provide payment to F. RODGERS for work performed on the Project. Accordingly, on the failure of Taber to compensate F. RODGERS for the work performed, SURETY is obligated to pay F. RODGERS the amount set forth above.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WHEREFORE, the United States of America, for the use and benefit of F. RODGERS, prays
for judgment against SURETY as follows:
<u>PRAYER</u>

- 1. For the sum of \$1,046,809.97, plus unknown consequential damages, and such additional sums that become due up to the date of trial, according to proof;
- 2. For interest on said sum at the legal rate per annum from February 14, 2008, through the date of judgment;
- 3. For attorneys' fees as allowed by contract or statute;
- 4. For costs of suit incurred herein; and
- 5. For such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.

DATED: May 27, 2008

LAW OFFICE OF ROBERT A. HUDDLESTON

JOAN E. PRESKY, ESQ

Attorneys for Use – Plaintiff F. RODGERS CORPORATION, a California Corporation

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Use – Plaintiff F. RODGERS CORPORATION, a California Corporation demands a jury trial as provided by Rule 38(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

DATED: May 27, 2008

LAW OFFICE OF ROBERT A. HUDDLESTON

Bv

Attorneys for Use – Plaintiff F. RODGERS CORPORATION, a California Corporation

2 JS 44 (Rev. 12/07) (cand fev 1-10-08)		V EIX SIIEE I		
The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information	n contained herein neither replace nor supp	lement the filing and service of pleadi	ings or other papers as required by	Tlaw, except as provide
by local rules of court. This form, approved I the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTION	by the Judicial Conference of the United \$t	ites, in September 1974, is required for	or the use of the Clerk of Court for	r the purpose of initiatir
the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTION	S ON PAGE TWO OF THE FORM.)			

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS							
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FOR THE USE AND BENEFIT OF F. RODGERS CORPORATION, a California Corporate				ΓOF	WESTERN SURETY COMPANY						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)					County of Residence of First Listed Defendant CONTRA COSTA (IN U.S. PLAINT IFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorney	's (Firm Nan	ne, Address, and Telephone	Number)		Attorneys (If Known)						
Law Office of Robert A. Huddleston 500 Ygnacio Valley Road, Suite 300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Tel: (925) 947-0100					Mark S. McKibbin, Esq. CNA Surety 333 South Wabash Avenue, 41st Floor Chicago, IL 60604						
IL BASIS OF	JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in Or	ne Box Only)	III. C	CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only) And One Box for Defendant)						
U.S. Governs	ment	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government No	ot a Party)	Cit	PTF DEF PTF DEF Citizen of This State 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place 4 4						_
2 U.S. Governi Defendant	ment	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship o	f Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State		2	of Business In		-	□ s	□ 5 —
					izen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	☐ 3	Foreign Nation		6	☐ 6 ———
IV. NATURE		(Place an "X" in One Box Only	ORTS		FORFEITURE/PE	NALTV	n/	ANKRUPTCY	ОТ	HER STAT	UTES
10 Insurance		PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL IN	JURY	610 Agriculture		422 A	Appeal 28 USC 158	400 St	ate Reapporti	
130 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable In: 140 Negotiable In: 150 Recovery of Care & Enforceme 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of I Student Loan (Excl. Veteran's Each of Veteran's Each 160 Stockholders' 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Prod 196 Franchise REAL PRO 210 Land Condet 220 Foreclosure 230 R ent Lease & 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product 290 All Other Re	0 Marine 0 Miller Act 0 Negotiable Instrument 0 Recovery of Overpayment 0 Slander 1 Medicare Act 2 Recovery of Defaulted Suddent Loans (Excl Veterans) 3 Recovery of Overpayment 0 For Contract 0 Other Contract 0 Cother Contract 5 Contract Product Liability 355 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 375 Motor Vehicle 376 Wotor Vehicle 376 Motor Vehicle 376 Motor Vehicle 377 Motor Vehicle 376 Motor Vehicle 377 Motor Vehicle 376 Motor Vehicle 377 Motor Vehicle 377 Motor Vehicle 376 Motor Vehicle 377 Motor Vehicle 376 Motor Vehicle 377 Motor Vehicle 377 Motor Vehicle 376 Motor Vehicle 377 Motor Vehicle 378 Motor Vehicle 378 Motor Vehicle 379 Motor Vehicle 379 Motor Vehicle 370 Motor Vehicl		362 Personal Injury— Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury — Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 7385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights		620 Other Food & 625 Drug Related of Property 2 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Sta Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. F & Disclosure 740 Railway Labo 790 Other Labor L 791 Empl. Ret. Inc Security Act IMMIGRATI 462 Naturalization A 463 Habeas Corpu Alien Detaine 465 Other Immigra Actions	ndards Relations Reporting Act r Act itigation	423 W 423 W 423 W 423 W 423 W 423	Vithdrawal 28 USC 157 PERTY RIGHTS Copyrights Patent Frademark IAL SECURITY HIA (1395ff) Black Lung (923) DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) SID Title XVI RSI (405(g))	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Bank 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influctorrupt Organi 480 Consumer Cred 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Servic 850 Securities/Com Exchange 875 Customer Chall 12 USC 3410		lenge Actions distillation Act Matters ion Act formation
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) Transferred from Transferred from Original 2 Removed from 3 Remanded from 4 Reinstated or 5 another district 6 Multidistrict 7 Judge from Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened (specify) Litigation Magistrate Judgment											
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 40 U.S.C. Sections 3131 et seq. Brief description of cause: Recovery on Miller Act payment bond											
COMPL	VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ \$1,046,809.97 CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: ▼ Yes No										
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY PLEASE REFER TO CIVIL L.R. 3-12 CONCERNING REQUIREMENT TO FILE "NOTICE OF RELATED CASE".											
IX. DIVISIONA (PLACE AND "	IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT (CIVIL L.R. 3-2) (PLACE AND "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) E SAN FRANCISCO/OAKLAND SAN JOSE										
DATE May 27, 2008			SIGNATURE O	F ATTOR	NEY OF RECORD						
			(),		L						